



Zm
AF

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Docket No.
M4065.0210/P210

Application No.
09/588,008-Conf. #9015

Filing Date
June 6, 2000

Examiner
H. B. Trinh

Art Unit
2814

Applicant(s): Sam Yang et al.

Invention: A CAPACITOR FOR A SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE

TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.

The fee has been calculated and is transmitted as shown below.

CLAIMS AS AMENDED					
	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid	Number Extra Claims Present	Rate	
Total Claims	32	- 96 =	0	x 50.00	0.00
Independent Claims	2	- 5 =	0	x 200.00	0.00
Multiple Dependent Claims (check if applicable) <input type="checkbox"/>					
Other fee (please specify):					
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT:					0.00

☒ Large Entity

☐ Small Entity

☒ No additional fee is required for this amendment.

☐ Please charge Deposit Account No. _____ in the amount of \$ _____.
A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

☐ A check in the amount of \$ _____ to cover the filing fee is enclosed.

☐ Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.

☒ The Director is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. 04-1073
as described below. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

☒ Credit any overpayment.

☒ Charge any additional filing or application processing fees required under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17.


Thomas J. D'Amico
Attorney/Agent Reg. No.: 28,371

Dated: December 19, 2006

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
1825 Eye Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006-5403
(202) 420-2232



Docket No.: M4065.0210/P210
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Sam Yang et al.

Application No.: 09/588,008

Confirmation No.: 9015

Filed: June 6, 2000

Art Unit: 2814

For: A CAPACITOR FOR A SEMICONDUCTOR
DEVICE

Examiner: H. B. Trinh

AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL ACTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116

MS AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

In response to the Office Action dated September 22, 2006, finally rejecting claims 1-31 and 99, please reconsider the above-identified U.S. patent application in light of the following arguments:

Listing of the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 8 of this paper.